| 1 | | | |
|-----|---|----------------------|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON | | |
| 9 | AT SEAT | ΓLE | |
| 10 | GS HOLISTIC, LLC, | CASE NO. C23-0411JLR | |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | ORDER | |
| 12 | V. | | |
| 13 | VAPE SAVVY LLC, et al., | | |
| 14 | Defendants. | | |
| 15 | Before the court is Plaintiff GS Holistic, LLC's ("GS Holistic") motion for entry | | |
| 16 | of default against Defendants Vape Savvy LLC ("Vape Savvy"), Eric Ward, and Jason | | |
| 17 | Stanifer. (Mot. (Dkt. # 13).) The court grants GS Holistic's motion with respect to Vape | | |
| 18 | Savvy and Mr. Stanifer and defers ruling on the motion with respect to Mr. Ward. | | |
| 19 | The record shows that GS Holistic served Vape Savvy on April 14, 2023, through | | |
| 20 | its registered agent, Defendant Todd Wilson, and personally served Mr. Stanifer on | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | ¹ GS Holistic voluntarily dismissed its claims against Mr. Wilson on June 19, 2023. (6/19/23 Notice (Dkt. # 9).) | | |

| 1 | August 27, 2023. (4/14/23 Aff. (Dkt. # 11); 8/27/23 Aff. (Dkt. # 12).) Because neither | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | Vape Savvy nor Mr. Stanifer have answered or otherwise responded to GS Holistic's | |
| 3 | complaint (see generally Dkt.), the court GRANTS the motion in part and DIRECTS the | |
| 4 | Clerk to enter default against these two Defendants. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). | |
| 5 | Although GS Holistic asserts that it served Mr. Ward on April 14, 2023 (see Mot. | |
| 6 | \P 2 (citing 4/14/23 Aff.)), there is no evidence in the record that it ever did so. The April | |
| 7 | 14, 2023 affidavit of service refers only to service of Vape Savvy through Mr. Wilson, | |
| 8 | and the August 27, 2023 affidavit of service refers only to Mr. Stanifer. (See 4/14/23 | |
| 9 | Aff.; 8/27/23 Aff.) The court notes that on June 20, 2023, it (1) granted GS Holistic's | |
| 10 | motion to extend the deadline to serve Mr. Ward, (2) ordered GS Holistic to file proof of | |
| 11 | service by August 16, 2023, and (3) warned GS Holistic that failure to timely file proof of | |
| 12 | service could result in the dismissal without prejudice of its claims against Mr. Ward. | |
| 13 | (6/20/23 Order (Dkt. # 10) at 2-3.) Nevertheless, it appears that GS Holistic did not | |
| 14 | comply with the court's order. (See generally Dkt.) Accordingly, the court ORDERS GS | |
| 15 | Holistic to show cause, by no later than February 16, 2024 , why the court should not | |
| 16 | deny its motion for entry of default against Mr. Ward and dismiss its claims against Mr. | |
| 17 | Ward without prejudice for failure to effect timely service. The Clerk is DIRECTED to | |
| 18 | renote the motion (Dkt. # 13) for February 16, 2024. | |
| 19 | Dated this 12th day of February, 2024. | |
| 20 | Com R. Plut | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge | |